services, and \$178,630 for salaries. Funds in the reserve at the end of the fiscal year, estimated at \$200,000 will be within the maximum permitted by the order of one fiscal year's expenses.

An interim final rule was issued on January 18, 1995, and published in the Federal Register. That rule provided a 30-day comment period which ended February 23, 1995. No comments were received.

While this action will impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are in the form of uniform assessments on all handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers. However, these costs should be significantly offset by the benefits derived from the operation of the marketing order. Therefore, the Administrator of the AMS has determined that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

It is found that the specified expenses for the marketing order covered in this rule are reasonable and likely to be incurred and that such expenses and the specified assessment rate to cover such expenses will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

It is further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this action until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) because the Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis. The 1995 fiscal year for the program began January 1, 1995. The marketing order requires that the rate of assessment apply to all assessable olives as applicable during the fiscal year. In addition, handlers are aware of this action which was recommended by the Committee at a public meeting and published in the Federal Register as an interim final rule that is adopted in this action as a final rule without change.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 932

Marketing agreements, Olives, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 932 is amended as follows:

PART 932—OLIVES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

Accordingly, the interim final rule amending 7 CFR part 932 which was published at 60 FR 4531 on January 24, 1995, is adopted as a final rule without change.

Dated: April 6, 1995.

Sharon Bomer Lauritsen,

Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division. [FR Doc. 95–8947 Filed 4–11–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

Food Safety and Inspection Service

9 CFR Part 327

[Docket No. 94-010F]

Imported Product: Withdrawal of Czechoslovakia; Addition of the Czech Republic to the List of Eligible Countries

AGENCY: Food Safety and Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; affirmation of effective

date.

SUMMARY: On February 24, 1995, the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) published a direct final rule titled Imported Product: Withdrawal of Czechoslovakia; Addition of the Czech Republic to the List of Eligible Countries. This direct final rule notified the public of FSIS' intention to amend the Federal meat inspection regulations by removing Czechoslovakia from the list of foreign countries eligible to import meat products to the United States, and adding the Czech Republic in its place. No adverse comments were received in response to the direct final rule. Therefore, this rule is effective on April 25, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Paula M. Cohen, Director, Regulations Development, Policy, Evaluation and Planning Staff, Food Safety and Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250–3700; (202) 720–7164.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice affirms the effective date of the direct final rule titled Imported Product: Withdrawal of Czechoslovakia; Addition of the Czech Republic to the List of Eligible Countries that was published on February 24, 1995, at 60 FR 10305. This direct final rule notified the public of FSIS' intention to amend the Federal meat inspection regulations by removing Czechoslovakia from the list of foreign countries eligible to import meat products to the United States, and adding the Czech Republic in its place. We did not receive any written adverse comments or written notice of intent to submit adverse comments in response to this rule. Therefore, the effective date of the rule is April 25, 1995.

Done at Washington, DC, on April 5, 1995. Michael R. Taylor,

Acting Under Secretary for Food Safety. [FR Doc. 95–8937 Filed 4–11–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–DM–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 94-ANE-37; Amendment 39-9192; AD 95-08-03]

Airworthiness Directives; General Electric Company CF6 Series Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to General Electric Company (GE) CF6-45/-50 series turbofan engines, that requires reduction of the low cycle fatigue (LCF) retirement lives for certain high pressure turbine rotor (HPTR) stage 2 disks, and would provide a drawdown schedule for those affected parts with reduced LCF retirement lives. This amendment is prompted by the results of a refined life analysis performed by the manufacturer which revealed minimum calculated LCF lives significantly lower than published LCF retirement lives. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent a LCF failure of the HPTR stage 2 disk, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the aircraft.

DATES: Effective June 12, 1995.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of June 12, 1995.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from General Electric Aircraft Engines, CF6 Distribution Clerk, Room 132, 111 Merchant Street, Cincinnati, OH 45246. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert J. Ganley, Aerospace Engineer,

Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA